# Taylor domination, Turán lemma, and Poincaré-Perron sequences

## Dmitry Batenkov and Yosef Yomdin

ABSTRACT. We consider "Taylor domination" property for an analytic function  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ , in the complex disk  $D_R$ , which is an inequality of the form

$$|a_k|R^k \le C \max_{i=0,...,N} |a_i|R^i, \ k \ge N+1.$$

This property is closely related to the classical notion of "valency" of f in  $D_R$ . For f - rational function we show that Taylor domination is essentially equivalent to a well-known and widely used Turán's inequality on the sums of powers.

Next we consider linear recurrence relations of the Poincaré type

$$a_k = \sum_{j=1}^{d} [c_j + \psi_j(k)] a_{k-j}, \quad k = d, d+1, \dots, \quad \text{with } \lim_{k \to \infty} \psi_j(k) = 0.$$

We show that the generating functions of their solutions possess Taylor domination with explicitly specified parameters. As the main example we consider moment generating functions, i.e. the Stieltjes transforms

$$S_g(z) = \int \frac{g(x) dx}{1 - zx}.$$

We show Taylor domination property for such  $S_g$  when g is a piecewise D-finite function, satisfying on each continuity segment a linear ODE with polynomial coefficients.

#### 1. Introduction

"Taylor domination" for an analytic function  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  is an explicit bound of all its Taylor coefficients  $a_k$  through the first few of them. This property was classically studied, in particular, in relation with the Bieberbach conjecture, finally proved in [12]: for univalent f always  $|a_k| \leq k|a_1|$ . See [4, 5, 10, 15] and references therein. To give an accurate definition, let us assume the radius of convergence of the Taylor series for f is  $\hat{R}$ ,  $0 < \hat{R} \leqslant +\infty$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. Let a positive finite  $R \leq \hat{R}$ , a natural N, and a positive sequence S(k) of a subexponential growth be fixed. The function f is said to

©2016 American Mathematical Society

The first author was supported by the Adams Fellowship Program of the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.

The second author is supported by ISF, Grants No. 639/09 and 779/13, and by the Minerva foundation.

possess an (N, R, S(k)) - Taylor domination property if for each  $k \geq N+1$  we have

$$|a_k|R^k \leqslant S(k) \max_{i=0,\dots,N} |a_i|R^i.$$

For  $S(k) \equiv C$  a constant we shall call this property (N, R, C)-Taylor domination.

The parameters (N, R, S(k)) of Taylor domination are not defined uniquely. In fact, each nonzero analytic function f possesses this property, with N being the index of its first nonzero Taylor coefficient  $a_k$ :

PROPOSITION 1.2. If  $0 < \hat{R} \le +\infty$  is the radius of convergence of  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ , with  $f \not\equiv 0$ , then for each finite and positive  $0 < R \le \hat{R}$ , f satisfies the (N, R, S(k))-Taylor domination property with N being the index of its first nonzero Taylor coefficient, and  $S(k) = R^k |a_k| (|a_N|R^N)^{-1}$ , for k > N.

Conversely, let f possess (N, R, S(k))-domination. Then the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  converges in a disk of radius  $R^*$  satisfying  $R^* \geqslant R$ . For each R' < R the function f possesses (N, R', C)-domination, with the constant C depending on R'/R and on the sequence S(k).

PROOF. It follows just by noticing that  $\hat{R}^{-1} = \limsup_{k \to \infty} |a_k|^{\frac{1}{k}}$ . This implies that for each  $R \leq \hat{R}$  the sequence  $S(k) = R^k |a_k| (|a_N|R^N)^{-1}$  has a subexponential growth.

Consequently, the Taylor domination becomes really interesting only for those families of analytic functions f where we can specify the parameters  $N,\ R,\ S(k)$  in an explicit and uniform way.

In this paper we study Taylor domination for  $a_k$  generated by linear non-stationary homogeneous recurrence relations of a fixed length:

(1.1) 
$$a_k = \sum_{j=1}^d c_j(k) \cdot a_{k-j}, \quad k = d, d+1, \dots,$$

If for  $j=1,\ldots,d$  the coefficients  $c_j(k)$  have a form  $c_j(k)=c_j+\psi_j(k)$ , with fixed  $c_j$  and with  $\lim_{k\to\infty}\psi_j(k)=0$ , then recurrence relation (1.1) is said to be a linear recurrence relation of Poincaré type (see [19,21]). Such recurrences appear in numerous problems of Analysis, Differential Equations, Combinatorics. We attempt to produce accurate bounds in Taylor domination for  $a_k$  generated by Poincaré type recurrence relations, and pose some open questions in this direction.

The paper is organized as follows: after presenting in Section 2 some classical connections between Taylor domination for f and its zeroes distribution, we start in Section 3 with recurrence relations (1.1) with constant coefficients. Those produce Taylor coefficients of rational functions f(z). Surprisingly, Taylor domination in this case turns out to be a nontrivial fact, essentially equivalent to an important and widely used inequality on the sums of powers - Turán's lemma ([17,18,25,26]). In Section 3 we prove this equivalence, obtaining, as a byproduct, a very short proof of Turán's lemma from the classical Biernacki theorem ([5]) on multivalent functions, and from Bezout bound on zeroes of rational functions.

In Section 4 we obtain an explicit Taylor domination for solutions of general recurrence relations (1.1) with uniformly bounded coefficients. No additional information, besides the size of  $c_j(k)$ , is required. So we get this result, and hence (via Section 2 below) also explicit bounds on the number of zeroes, for a fairly wide

class of analytic functions. On the other hand, in contrast with Turán's lemma, the disk where we get Taylor domination is usually significantly smaller than the expected disk of convergence.

In Section 5 we obtain some more accurate results for recurrence relations of Poincaré type. This includes (only partially explicit) Taylor domination in the full disk of convergence, and an explicit and uniform (depending only the size of perturbations  $\psi_i(k)$ ) one in a smaller disk.

Finally, in Section 6, we consider moments of "D-finite" functions, produce a linear recurrence relation for them, describe the cases when it is of Poincaré type, and provide some conclusions concerning Taylor domination.

The authors would like to thank O. Friedland for a careful reading of the first version of this paper, and for numerous important remarks and suggestions.

#### 2. Taylor domination and counting zeroes

Taylor domination allows us to compare the behavior of f(z) with the behavior of the polynomial  $P_N(z) = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k z^k$ . In particular, the number of zeroes of f can be easily bounded in this way. In one direction the bound is provided by the classical results of  $[\mathbf{5},\mathbf{10}]$ . To formulate them, we need the following definition (see  $[\mathbf{15}]$  and references therein):

DEFINITION 2.1. A function f regular in a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  is called p-valent there, if for any  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  the number of solutions in  $\Omega$  of the equation f(z) = c does not exceed p.

THEOREM 2.2 (Biernacki, 1936, [5]). If f is p-valent in the disk  $D_R$  of radius R centered at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$  then for each  $k \geq p+1$ 

$$|a_k|R^k \le (A(p)k/p)^{2p} \max_{i=1,...,p} |a_i|R^i,$$

where A(p) is a constant depending only on p.

In our notations, Theorem 2.2 claims that a function f which is p-valent in  $D_R$ , possesses a  $(p, R, (Ak/p)^{2p})$  - Taylor domination property.

For univalent functions, i.e. for  $p=1,\ R=1$ , Theorem 2.2 gives  $|a_k| \le A(1)^2 k^2 |a_1|$  for each k, while the sharp bound of the Bieberbach conjecture is  $|a_k| \le k |a_1|$ .

A closely related result (obtained somewhat earlier) is the following:

Theorem 2.3 (Cartwright, 1930, [10]). If f is p-valent in the disk  $D_1$  of radius 1 centered at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$  then for |z| < 1

$$|f(z)| < B(p) \max_{i=0,\dots,p} |a_i| (1-|z|)^{-2p},$$

where B(p) is a constant depending only on p.

Various forms of inverse results to Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3 are known. In particular, an explicit bound for the number of zeroes of f possessing Taylor domination can be obtained by combining Proposition 1.2 above and Lemma 2.2 from [24]:

Theorem 2.4. Let the function f possess an (N, R, S(k)) - Taylor domination property. Then for each R' < R, f has at most  $M(N, \frac{R'}{R}, S(k))$  zeros in  $D_{R'}$ , where

 $M(N, \frac{R'}{R}, S(k))$  is a function depending only on N,  $\frac{R'}{R}$  and on the sequence S(k), satisfying  $\lim_{\frac{R'}{R} \to 1} M = \infty$  and  $M(N, \frac{R'}{R}, S) = N$  for  $\frac{R'}{R}$  sufficiently small.

We can replace the bound on the number of zeroes of f by the bound on its valency, if we exclude  $a_0$  in the definition of Taylor domination (or, alternatively, if we consider the derivative f' instead of f).

## 3. Taylor domination for rational functions and Turán's lemma

We shortly recall some basic facts concerning Taylor coefficients of rational functions. Consider a rational function  $R(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$  with  $Q(z) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{d} c_j z^j$  and deg  $P(z) \leq d-1$ . To simplify the presentation we shall assume that all the roots  $s_1, \ldots, s_d$  of Q are pairwise different (and they are clearly nonzero since Q(0) = 1). All the results below remain valid in the general case of multiple roots. Now R(z) can be represented as a sum of elementary fractions:

(3.1) 
$$R(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\alpha_j}{s_j - z} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\beta_j}{1 - \sigma_j z}, \quad with \quad \beta_j = \frac{\alpha_j}{s_j}, \quad \sigma_j = \frac{1}{s_j}.$$

Developing into geometric progressions, we obtain

(3.2) 
$$R(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad with \quad a_k = \sum_{j=1}^d \beta_j \sigma_j^k.$$

The radius of convergence of this series is  $R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min_{i=1,\dots d} |\sigma_i^{-1}|$ , which is the distance from the origin to the nearest pole of R(z).

It is well known that the Taylor coefficients  $a_k$  of R(z) satisfy a linear recurrence relation with constant coefficients

(3.3) 
$$a_k = \sum_{j=1}^d c_j a_{k-j}, \quad k = d, d+1, \dots,$$

where  $c_j$  are the coefficients of the denominator Q(z) of R(z). Conversely, for any initial terms  $a_0, \ldots, a_{d-1}$  the solution sequence of (3.3) forms a sequence of the Taylor coefficients  $a_k$  of a rational function R(z) as above. The equation  $\sigma^d - \sum_{j=1}^d c_j \sigma^{d-j}$  is called the characteristic equation, and its roots  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_d$  defined above are called the characteristic roots of (3.3).

Taylor domination property for rational functions is provided by the following theorem, which is, essentially, equivalent to the "first Turán lemma" ([17, 25, 26], see also [18]):

Theorem 3.1. Let  $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  satisfy recurrence relation (3.3) and let  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_d$  be its characteristic roots. Put  $R \stackrel{def}{=} \min_{i=1,\ldots d} \left|\sigma_i^{-1}\right|$ . Then for each  $k \geq d$ 

(3.4) 
$$|a_k| R^k \le Q(k, d) \max_{i=0,\dots,d-1} |a_i| R^i,$$

where  $Q(k,d) = (\frac{2ek}{d})^d$ .

PROOF. The original Turán's result is as follows: let  $b_j, z_j, j = 1, ..., d$ , be given complex numbers, with  $\min_j |z_j| = 1$ . Denoting  $B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (b_1, ..., b_d)$  and  $Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (z_1, ..., z_d)$ , define  $g_{\nu}$  as the power sum  $g_{\nu}(B, Z) = \sum_{j=1}^d b_j z_j^{\nu}$ .

THEOREM 3.2 (Turán, 1953, [25]). For each natural m we have

(3.5) 
$$|b_1 + \ldots + b_d| \le Q(m, d) \max_{\nu = m+1, \ldots, m+d} |g_{\nu}|.$$

where  $Q(m,d) = [2e(\frac{m}{d} + 1)]^d$ .

Put k = m + d. We immediately obtain that for any  $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_d)$  and  $W = (w_1, \ldots, w_d)$  with  $\max |w_j| = 1$  we have

$$(3.6) |g_k(F, W)| \le Q(m, d) \max_{i=0, d-1} |g_i(F, W)|,$$

by applying Theorem 3.2 with  $z_j = w_i^{-1}$  and  $b_j = f_j w_i^k$ . Notice that  $w_j \neq 0$ .

Now we return to the sequence  $a_k$  satisfying recurrence relation (3.3). Put  $S = (\sigma_1 R, \ldots, \sigma_d R)$  and  $D = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d)$ . Then, according to (3.2), we get  $|g_k(D, S)| = |a_k|R^k$ . Clearly, max  $|\sigma_i R| = 1$  and so the inequality (3.6) can be applied, giving the required inequality (3.4).

Theorem 3.1 provides an interpretation of Turán's lemma as a statement about Taylor domination for rational functions. This fact allows one to give a very short proof of Turán's lemma, albeit with a less sharp bound. Indeed, by Bezout theorem, rational functions of degree d are globally d-valent. In particular, R(z) as above is d-valent in its maximal disk of convergence. Applying Biernacki's Theorem 2.2, we get an inequality

(3.7) 
$$|a_k| R^k \le \left[ \frac{A(d)k}{d} \right]^{2d} \max_{i=1,\dots,d} |a_i| R^i.$$

The transformations as above (shifted by 1) show that this is equivalent to Turán's lemma, with  $Q(k,d) = \left[\frac{A(d)k}{d}\right]^{2d}$ . This is less sharp than Turán's expression. Notice, that the best possible constant is given in [9]:

$$Q(k,d) = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} {k+j \choose j} 2^j.$$

Theorem 3.1 provides a uniform Taylor domination for rational functions in their maximal disk of convergence  $D_R$ , in the strongest possible sense. Indeed, after rescaling to the unit disk  $D_1$  the parameters of (3.4) depend only on the degree of the function, but not on its specific coefficients.

Turán's lemma can be considered as a result on exponential polynomials, and in this form it was a starting point for many deep investigations in Harmonic Analysis, Uncertainty Principle, Analytic continuation, Number Theory (see [17, 18, 25, 26] and references therein). Recently some applications in Algebraic Sampling were obtained, in particular, estimates of robustness of non-uniform sampling of "spiketrain" signals ([3, 14]). One can hope that apparently new connections of Turán's lemma with Taylor domination, presented in this paper, can be further developed.

A natural open problem, motivated by Theorem 3.1, is a possibility to extend uniform Taylor domination in the maximal disk of convergence  $D_R$ , as provided by Theorem 3.1 for rational functions, to wider classes of generating functions of Poincaré type recurrence relations. Some initial examples in this direction were provided in [30], via techniques of Bautin ideals. An inequality closely related to Turán's lemma was obtained in [28], via techniques of finite differences. Presumably, the latter approach can be extended to Stieltjes transforms of certain natural

classes of functions, providing uniform Taylor domination in the maximal disk of convergence.

## 4. Recurrence relations with uniformly bounded coefficients

We will denote by  $\mathcal{R}$  a non-stationary linear recurrence relation of the form (1.1). Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the class of such  $\mathcal{R}$  with uniformly bounded coefficients  $c_j(k)$ . We would like to write the bounds on  $c_j(k)$  in a form

$$|c_i(k)| \leq K \rho^j$$
,  $j = 1, ..., d, k = d, d + 1, ...$ 

for certain positive constants  $K, \rho$ . So for each  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{S}$  we define  $K(\mathcal{R})$  and  $\rho(\mathcal{R})$  to be the pair of constants providing the required bounds on  $c_j(k)$ , for which the product  $\nu(\mathcal{R}) = (2K(\mathcal{R}) + 2) \cdot \rho(\mathcal{R})$  is minimal possible. We put  $R(\mathcal{R}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nu(\mathcal{R})^{-1}$ .

THEOREM 4.1. Let  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  be a solution of the recurrence relation  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{S}$ . Put  $K = K(\mathcal{R}), \ \rho = \rho(\mathcal{R}), \ R = R(\mathcal{R})$ . Then the series  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  converges in the open disk  $D_R$  and possesses there  $(d-1,R,(2K+2)^{d-1})$  Taylor domination.

PROOF. Put  $\hat{R} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho^{-1}$ . First we show that for each  $k \geqslant d$  we have

(4.1) 
$$|a_k| \, \hat{R}^k \leqslant (2K+2)^k \max_{i=0,\dots,d-1} |a_i| \, \hat{R}^i.$$

The proof is by induction on k. Denote

$$M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{i=0,\dots,d-1} |a_i| \, \hat{R}^i,$$

$$\eta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2K+2,$$

and assume that  $|a_{\ell}| \hat{R}^{\ell} \leqslant \eta^{\ell} M$ ,  $\ell \leqslant k-1$ . We have

$$|a_k|\hat{R}^k = \hat{R}^k|\sum_{j=1}^d c_j(k)a_{k-j}| \le K\hat{R}^k\sum_{j=1}^d |a_{k-j}|\rho^j = K\sum_{j=1}^d |a_{k-j}|\hat{R}^{k-j}.$$

By the inductive assumption  $|a_{k-j}| \hat{R}^{k-j} \leq \eta^{k-j} M$ , therefore we conclude that

$$|a_k| \hat{R}^k \leqslant KM \sum_{j=1}^d \eta^{k-j} = KM \eta^{k-1} \sum_{s=0}^{d-1} \eta^{-s} \leqslant 2KM \eta^{k-1} < \eta^k M.$$

This completes the induction step and proves inequality (4.1). Since by definition  $R = \frac{\hat{R}}{n}$ , dividing (4.1) by  $\eta^k$  gives

$$\left|a_k\right|R^k \quad \leqslant \quad \max_{i=0,\dots,d-1}\left|a_i\right|R^i\eta^i \leqslant \eta^{d-1}\max_{i=0,\dots,d-1}\left|a_i\right|R^i.$$

This is precisely the definition of  $(d-1, R, \eta^{d-1})$ -Taylor domination, which, in turn, implies convergence in the open disk  $D_R$ .

By a proper rescaling, Theorem 4.1 can be easily extended to non-stationary linear recurrences with a subexponential (or exponential) growth of the coefficients  $c_j(k)$ . Consequently, generating functions of such recurrences allow for explicit bounds on their valency. On the other hand, a drawback of this approach is that in the case of linear recurrences with constant coefficients (and for Poincaré-type recurrences - see below) the disk  $D_R$  where the uniform Taylor domination is guaranteed, is much smaller than the true disk of convergence.

**Example 1.** Consider a recurrence  $\mathcal{R}$  with constant coefficients of form (3.3):  $a_k = \sum_{j=1}^d c_j a_{k-j}, \quad k = d, d+1, \ldots$  Let  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_d$  be its characteristic roots, i.e. the roots of its characteristic equation  $\sigma^d - \sum_{j=1}^d c_j \sigma^{d-j} = 0$ . Put  $\rho = \max_j |\sigma_j|$ . Then for each  $j = 1, \ldots, d$  we have

$$|c_j| = |e_j(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d)| \leqslant {d \choose j} \rho^j \leqslant 2^d \rho^j,$$

where  $e_j(\cdot)$  is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree j in d variables. We conclude that  $K(\mathcal{R}) \leq 2^d$ ,  $\rho(\mathcal{R}) \leq \rho$ ,  $R(\mathcal{R}) \geq 2^{-d}\rho^{-1}$ . It is easy to see that these bounds are sharp. So the radius of convergence is  $\rho^{-1}$ , while Theorem 4.1 provides Taylor domination only in the concentric disk of a  $(2^{d+1}+2)$  times smaller radius. In the next section we discuss in some detail the problem of extending uniform Taylor domination to the full disk of convergence for Poicaré-type recurrences.

#### 5. Taylor domination for Poincaré-type recurrences

Now we consider recurrence relations  $\mathcal{R}$  of Poincaré-type, i.e. of the form

(5.1) 
$$a_k = \sum_{j=1}^d [c_j + \psi_j(k)] \cdot a_{k-j}, \quad k = d, d+1, \dots, \lim_{k \to \infty} \psi_j(k) = 0.$$

We denote this class by  $S_P$ . The characteristic polynomial  $\sigma^d - \sum_{j=1}^d c_j \sigma^{d-j} = 0$  and the characteristic roots  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_d$  of  $\mathcal{R} \in S_P$  are those of its constant part. We put  $\rho(\mathcal{R}) = \max_j |\sigma_j|$ .

The asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of such recurrences has been extensively studied, starting from Poincaré's own paper [21]. A comprehensive overview of the subject can be found in e.g. [13, Chapter 8]. The general idea permeating these studies is to compare the solutions of (5.1) to the solutions of the corresponding unperturbed recurrence relation (3.3). In this last case, as we have seen above, for some  $\sigma_j$ 

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{|a_k|} = |\sigma_j|.$$

O.Perron proved in [19] that this relation holds for a general recurrence of Poincaré type, but with an additional condition that  $c_d + \psi_d(k) \neq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . In [20] M.Pituk removed this restriction, and proved the following result.

THEOREM 5.1 (Pituk's extension of Perron's Second Theorem, [20]). Let  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  be any solution to a recurrence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  of Poincaré class  $\mathcal{S}_P$ . Then either  $a_k = 0$  for  $k \gg 1$  or

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{|a_k|} = |\sigma_j|,$$

where  $\sigma_i$  is one of the characteristic roots of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

This result, together with Proposition 1.2, implies the following:

THEOREM 5.2. Let  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  be any nonzero solution to a recurrence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  of Poincaré class  $\mathcal{S}_P$  with initial data  $\bar{a}$ , and let R be the radius of convergence of the generating function f(z). Then necessarily R>0, and in fact  $R=|\sigma|^{-1}$  where  $\sigma$  is some (depending on  $\bar{a}$ ) characteristic root of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Consequently, f satisfies (d-1,R,S(k))-Taylor domination with S(k) as defined in Proposition 1.2.

PROOF. The only thing left to show is that  $a_m \neq 0$  for some m = 0, 1, ..., d-1. Assume on the contrary that

$$a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_{d-1} = 0.$$

Plugging this initial data into the recurrence (5.1), we immediately conclude that  $a_k = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , a contradiction.

Taylor domination in the maximal disk of convergence provided by Theorem 5.2, is not effective. Indeed, Proposition 1.2 guarantees that the sequence  $S(k) = R^k |a_k| \cdot (\max_{i=0}^{d-1} R^i |a_i|)^{-1}$  is of subexponential growth but gives no further information on it. We can pose a natural question in this direction. For a sequence  $\Delta = \{\delta_k\}$  of positive numbers tending to zero, consider a subclass  $S_{P,\Delta}$  of  $S_P$ , consisting of  $R \in S_P$  with  $|\psi_j(k)| \leq \delta_k \cdot \rho(R)^j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, d, k = d, d+1, \ldots$ 

**Problem 1.** Do solutions of recurrence relations  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{S}_{P,\Delta}$  possess (N, R, S(k))Taylor domination in the maximal disk of convergence  $D_R$ , with S(k) depending
only on d and  $\Delta$ ? Is this true for specific  $\Delta$ , in particular, for  $\Delta = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, ..., \}$ ,
as it occurs in most of examples (solutions of linear ODE's, etc.)?

Taking into account well known difficulties in the analysis of Poincaré-type recurrences, this question may be tricky. Presumably, it can be easier for  $\Delta$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k < \infty$ .

In the remainder of this section we prove a version of Theorem 4.1 for Poincarétype recurrences  $\mathcal{R}$ . It provides Taylor domination in a smaller disk, but with explicit parameters, expressed in a transparent way through the constant part of  $\mathcal{R}$ , and through the size of the perturbations.

THEOREM 5.3. Let  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  satisfy a fixed recurrence  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{S}_P$ . Put  $\rho \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho(\mathcal{R})$ ,  $R = 2^{-(d+3)}\rho^{-1}$ . Let  $\hat{N}$  be the minimal of the numbers n such that for all k > n we have  $|\psi_j(k)| \leq 2^d \rho^j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, d$ . We put  $N = \hat{N} + d$ , and  $C = 2^{(d+3)N}$ . Then  $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  possesses (N, R, C)-Taylor domination property.

PROOF. By the calculations in Example 1 above, we have for the constant part of  $\mathcal{R}$  the bounds  $|c_j| \leq 2^d \rho^j$ . Since for k > N we have  $|\psi_j(k)| \leq 2^d \rho^j$ , we obtain for such k that  $|c_j(k)| \leq 2^{d+1} \rho^j$ . Now repeating the proof of Theorem 4.1 (with the starting point of the recurrence shifted by  $\hat{N}$ ) we complete the proof.

Theorem 5.3 provides a partial answer to Problem 1:

COROLLARY 5.4. Let  $\Delta = \{\delta_k\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers tending to zero. Define  $\hat{N}(\Delta)$  as a minimal number n such that for k > n we have  $\delta_k \leq 2^d$ . Then for each  $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{S}_{P,\Delta}$  solution sequences of  $\mathcal{R}$  possess (N,R,C)-Taylor domination, where  $N = \hat{N}(\Delta) + d$ ,  $R = 2^{-(d+3)}\rho(\mathcal{R})^{-1}$ ,  $C = 2^{(d+3)N}$ .

PROOF. By definition of the class  $S_{P,\Delta}$  the number  $\hat{N}(\Delta)$  coincides with  $\hat{N}$  in Theorem 5.3. Application of this theorem completes the proof.

One can consider at least two possible approaches to the extension of these results to the full disk of convergence  $D_R$ . First, asymptotic expressions in [6, 20] may be accurate enough to provide an inequality of the desired form. If this is a case, it remains to get explicit bounds in these asymptotic expressions.

Second, one can use a "dynamical approach" to recurrence relation (1.1) (see [7,11,16,22,27] and references therein). We consider (1.1) as a non-autonomous

linear dynamical system T. A "non-autonomous diagonalization" of T is a sequence  $\mathcal{L}$  of linear changes of variables, bringing this system to its "constant model"  $T_0$ , provided by the limit recurrence relation (3.3).

If we could obtain a non-autonomous diagonalization  $\mathcal{L}$  of T with an explicit bound on the size of the linear changes of variables in it, we could get the desired inequality as a pull-back, via  $\mathcal{L}$ , of the Turán inequality for  $T_0$ . There are indications that the second approach may work in the classes  $\mathcal{S}_{P,\Delta}$ , for  $\Delta$  with a finite sum.

#### 6. Piecewise D-finite functions

In this section we investigate a certain class of power series, defined by the Stieltjes integral transforms

(6.1) 
$$f(z) = S_g(z) = \int_a^b \frac{g(x) dx}{1 - zx},$$

where g(x) belongs to the class of the so-called *piecewise D-finite functions* [1], which are solutions of linear ODEs with polynomial coefficients, possessing a finite number of discontinuities of the first kind.

Using the expansion  $(1-zx)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (zx)^k$  for  $|z| < \frac{1}{|x|}$ , we obtain the following useful representation of  $S_q(z)$ :

PROPOSITION 6.1. Let  $g:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be bounded and integrable on [a,b]. Then the Stieltjes transform (6.1) of g is regular at the origin, and it is given by the moment-generating function

$$S_{g}\left(z\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{k} z^{k}, \quad where \ m_{k} \stackrel{def}{=} \int_{a}^{b} x^{k} g\left(x\right) dx.$$

DEFINITION 6.2. A real-valued bounded integrable function  $g:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is said to belong to the class  $\mathcal{PD}(\mathfrak{D},p)$  if it has  $0 \leqslant p < \infty$  discontinuities (not including the endpoints a,b) of the first kind, and between the discontinuities it satisfies a linear homogeneous ODE with polynomial coefficients  $\mathfrak{D} g = 0$ , where

$$\mathfrak{D} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_j(x) \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^j, \quad p_j(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_j} a_{i,j} x^i.$$

Obtaining uniform Taylor domination for  $S_g$ , where g belongs to particular subclasses of  $\mathcal{PD}$  (in particular, g being piecewise algebraic), is an important problem with direct applications in Qualitative Theory of ODEs (see [8] and references therein). In this paper we provide initial results in this direction.

Let  $g \in \mathcal{PD}(\mathfrak{D},p)$ , with  $\mathfrak{D}$  as above. Denote the discontinuities of g by  $a=x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_p < x_{p+1} = b$ . In what follows, we shall use some additional notation. Denote for each  $j=0,\ldots,n,\ \alpha_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d_j - j$ . Let  $\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_j \alpha_j$ , and denote for each  $\ell=-n,\ldots,\alpha$ 

(6.2) 
$$q_{\ell}(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^{j} a_{\ell+j,j} (k+\ell+j)_{j}.$$

where  $(a)_b = a(a-1) \times \cdots \times (a-b+1) = \frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(b)}$  is the Pochhammer symbol for the falling factorial.

Our approach is based on the following result:

THEOREM 6.3 ([1]). Let  $g \in \mathcal{PD}(\mathfrak{D}, p)$ . Then the moments  $m_k = \int_a^b x^k g(x) dx$  satisfy the recurrence relation

(6.3) 
$$\sum_{\ell=-n}^{\alpha} q_{\ell}(k) m_{k+\ell} = \varepsilon_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where

$$\varepsilon_k = \sum_{\ell=0}^{p+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{\ell}^{k-j} (k)_j c_{\ell,j},$$

with each  $c_{\ell,j}$  being a certain homogeneous bilinear form in the two sets of variables

$$\{p_m(x_\ell), p'_m(x_\ell), \dots, p_m^{(n-1)}(x_\ell)\}_{m=0}^n,$$

$$\{g(x_\ell^+) - g(x_\ell^-), g'(x_\ell^+) - g'(x_\ell^-), \dots, g^{(n-1)}(x_\ell^+) - g^{(n-1)}(x_\ell^-)\}.$$

The recurrence (6.3) is inhomogeneous, and the coefficient of the highest moment is different from one. Accordingly, we first transform (6.3) into a homogeneous matrix recurrence. Next, we give conditions for this last recurrence to be of Poincaré type. Finally, we apply an appropriate version of the results in Section 5 to get Taylor domination.

It is well-known that the sequence  $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  satisfies a recurrence relation  $\mathcal{S}$  of the form (3.3) with constant coefficients, whose characteristic roots are precisely  $\{x_0,\ldots,x_{p+1}\}$ , each with multiplicity n. Let the characteristic polynomial  $\Theta_{\mathcal{S}}(z)$  of degree  $\tau \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n (p+2)$  be of the form

(6.4) 
$$\Theta_{\mathcal{S}}(\sigma) = \prod_{j=0}^{p+1} (\sigma - x_j)^n = \sigma^{\tau} - \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} b_i \sigma^{\tau - i},$$

then

$$\varepsilon_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} b_j \varepsilon_{k-j}, \quad k = \tau, \tau + 1, \dots$$

Rewrite this last recurrence as

(6.5) 
$$\varepsilon_{k+\tau} = \sum_{j=0}^{\tau-1} b_{\tau-j} \varepsilon_{k+j}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Now denote the vector function  $\vec{w}(k): \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}^{\alpha+\kappa+\tau}$  as

$$\vec{w}(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} m_{k-n} \\ \vdots \\ m_{k+\alpha-1} \\ \varepsilon_k \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{k+\tau-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then by (6.3) and (6.5) we see that  $\vec{w}(k)$  satisfies the linear system (6.6)

$$\vec{w}\left(k+1\right) =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & \vec{0}^{(\alpha+n-1)\times\tau} \\ \dots \\ -\frac{q-n(k)}{q_{\alpha}(k)} & -\frac{q-n+1(k)}{q_{\alpha}(k)} & \dots & -\frac{q_{\alpha-1}(k)}{q_{\alpha}(k)} & \frac{1}{q_{\alpha}(k)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & b_{\tau} & b_{\tau-1} & \dots & b_{1} \end{bmatrix} \vec{w}(k).$$

Now we can show Taylor domination for the Stieltjes transform  $S_{g}\left(z\right)$ , utilizing the system version of Theorem 5.1.

Definition 6.4. The vector function  $\vec{y}(k): \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}^{\ltimes}$  is said to satisfy a linear system of Poincaré type, if

(6.7) 
$$\vec{y}(k+1) = (A+B(k))\vec{y}(k),$$

where A is a constant  $n \times n$  matrix and  $B(k) : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$  is a matrix function satisfying  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \|B(k)\| = 0$ .

Theorem 6.5 ([20]). Let the vector  $\vec{y}(k)$  satisfy the perturbed linear system of Poin-

caré type (6.7). Then either  $\vec{y}(k) = \vec{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\ltimes}$  for  $k \gg 1$  or

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sqrt[k]{\|\vec{y}(k)\|}$$

exists and is equal to the modulus of one of the eigenvalues of the matrix A.

LEMMA 6.6. The recurrence system (6.6) is of Poincaré type if and only if (6.8)  $\alpha_n \geqslant \alpha_j \qquad j = 0, 1, \dots, n.$ 

Proof. Clearly, a necessary and sufficient condition for (6.6) being of Poincaré type is that

$$\deg q_{\ell}(k) \leqslant \deg q_{\alpha}(k), \quad \ell = -n \dots, \alpha - 1.$$

We will show that this condition is equivalent to (6.8).

Recall the definition (6.2). The highest power of k in any  $q_{\ell}(k)$  is determined by the maximal index  $j=0,\ldots,n$  for which  $a_{i,j}\neq 0$  and  $i-j=\ell$ . Consider  $\ell=\alpha_n=d_n-n$ , then obviously since  $a_{d_n,n}\neq 0$  we have  $\deg q_{\alpha_n}(k)=n$ .

(1) Now let's assume that for some s < n we have  $\alpha_s > \alpha_n$ , i.e.  $d_s - s > d_n - n$ , and consider the polynomial  $q_{\alpha_s}(k)$ :

$$q_{\alpha_s}(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j a_{j+\alpha_s,j} (k+j+\alpha_s)_j.$$

By assumption,  $\alpha_s + n > d_n$ , and therefore in this case  $\deg q_{\alpha_s}(k) < n$ . Thus if  $\alpha_s > \alpha_n$  for some s < n, we have  $\deg q_{\alpha_n} > \deg q_{\alpha_s}$ . In particular,  $\alpha \geqslant \alpha_s > \alpha_n$  and therefore  $\deg q_{\alpha} < \deg q_{\alpha_n}$ . This proves one direction.

(2) In the other direction, assume that  $\alpha = \max_j \alpha_j = \alpha_n$ . Clearly  $\deg q_\alpha = \deg q_{\alpha_n} = n$ , but on the other hand it is always true that  $\deg q_\ell \leqslant n$ .

This concludes the proof.

REMARK 6.7. The condition (6.8) in fact means that the point  $z = \infty$  is at most a regular singularity of the operator  $\mathfrak{D}$ .

So in the remainder of the section we assume that (6.8) is satisfied and n > 0. The constant part of the system (6.6) is the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & & \vec{0}^{d_n \times \tau} \\ \dots & & & & & & \\ -\beta_{-n} & -\beta_{-n+1} & \dots & & -\beta_{-n+d_n-1} \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & \vec{0}^{\tau \times d_n} & & & \dots \\ & & & & b_{\tau} & b_{\tau-1} & \dots & b_1 \end{bmatrix},$$
 there

where

$$\beta_{-n+s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{q_{-n+s}(k)}{q_{\alpha_n}(k)} = \frac{a_{s,n}}{a_{d_n,n}}.$$

Proposition 6.8. The set  $Z_A$  of the eigenvalues of the matrix A is precisely the union of the roots of  $p_n(x)$  (i.e. the singular points of the operator  $\mathfrak{D}$ ) and the jump points  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{p+1}$ .

PROOF. This is immediate, since  $A = \begin{bmatrix} C & \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & D \end{bmatrix}$ , where C is the companion matrix of  $p_n(x)$  and D is the companion matrix of the characteristic polynomial  $\Theta_{\mathcal{S}}(z)$  as defined in (6.4).

In [2] we study the following question: how many first moments  $\{m_k\}$  can vanish for a nonzero  $g \in \mathcal{PD}(\mathfrak{D}, p)$ ? In particular, we prove the following result.

Theorem 6.9 ([2]). Let the operator  $\mathfrak{D}$  be of Fuchsian type (i.e. having only regular singular points, possibly including  $\infty$ ). In particular,  $\mathfrak D$  satisfies the condition (6.8). Let  $g \in \mathcal{PD}(\mathfrak{D}, p)$ .

- (1) If there is at least one discontinuity point  $\xi \in [a,b]$  of g at which the operator  $\mathfrak{D}$  is nonsingular, i.e.  $p_n(\xi) \neq 0$ , then vanishing of the first  $\tau + d_n - n$  moments  $\{m_k\}_{k=0}^{\tau + d_n - n - 1}$  of g implies  $g \equiv 0$ .
- (2) Otherwise, let  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{D})$  denote the largest positive integer characteristic exponent of  $\mathfrak{D}$  at the point  $\infty$ . In fact, the indicial equation of  $\mathfrak{D}$  at  $\infty$  is  $q_{\alpha}(k) = 0$ . Then the vanishing of the first  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{D}) + 1 + d_n - n$  moments of g implies  $g \equiv 0$ .

Everything is now in place in order to obtain the following result.

THEOREM 6.10. Let  $g \in \mathcal{PD}(\mathfrak{D}, p)$  be a not identically zero function, with  $\mathfrak{D}$ of Fuchsian type. Then the Stieltjes transform  $S_g(z)$  is analytic at the origin, and the series

$$S_g\left(z\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k z^k$$

converges in a disk of radius R which satisfies

$$R \geqslant R^* \stackrel{def}{=} \min \left\{ \xi^{-1} : \xi \in Z_A \right\},$$

where  $Z_A$  is given by Proposition 6.8. Furthermore, for every

$$N \geqslant \max \{\tau - 1, \Lambda(\mathfrak{D})\} + d_n - n,$$

 $S_{g}$  satisfies (N, R, S(k)) Taylor domination, where S(k) is given by Proposition 1.2.

PROOF. By Lemma 6.6 and Theorem 6.5 it is clear that

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \sqrt[k]{|m_k|}\leqslant \frac{1}{R^*}.$$

By Theorem 6.9,  $m_k \neq 0$  for at least some k = 0, ..., N. Then we apply Proposition 1.2.

In order to bound the number of zeros of  $S_g$ , we would need to estimate the growth of the rational functions  $\frac{q_{-n+s}(k)}{q_{\alpha_n}(k)}$ , and this can hopefully be done using some general properties of the operator  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Then we would apply the results of Section 5. We expect that in this way we can single out subclasses of  $\mathcal{PD}$  for which uniform Taylor domination takes place. We plan to carry out this program in a future work.

Remark 6.11. It is possible to obtain Taylor domination for the Stieltjes transforms  $S_g(z)$  by another method, based on Remez-type inequalities [23,29]. We plan to present these results separately.

We complete this paper with one example and one question.

**Example 2.** Consider a very special case of D-finite functions: polynomial g(x). In this case a uniform Taylor domination (depending only on the degree) in the maximal disk of convergence for  $S_g(z)$  was obtained in [30] via the study of the "Bautin ideals", generated by the moments  $m_k(g)$  (considered as polynomials in the parameters of the problem).

**Problem 2.** Moments of the form  $m_k(p,Q,a,b) = \int_a^b P^k(x)q(x)dx$  play an especially important role in Qualitative Theory of ODEs (compare [8]). Here P(x) and q(x) are univariate complex polynomials. Via change of integration variable w = P(x) these moments can be reduced to the usual moments of a piecewise-algebraic g, but along a certain complex curve. Does the generating function of  $m_k(p,Q,a,b)$  satisfy uniform Taylor domination (depending only on the degrees of P and q) in its disk of convergence?

#### References

- Dmitry Batenkov, Moment inversion problem for piecewise D-finite functions, Inverse Problems 25 (2009), no. 10, 105001, 24, DOI 10.1088/0266-5611/25/10/105001. MR2545970 (2011a:44011)
- [2] D. Batenkov and G. Binyamini. Moment vanishing of piecewise solutions of linear ODE's. arXiv:1302.0991.
- [3] Dmitry Batenkov, Niv Sarig, and Yosef Yomdin, Accuracy of algebraic Fourier reconstruction for shifts of several signals, Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process. 13 (2014), no. 2, 151–173. MR3315358
- [4] Ludwig Bieberbach, Analytische Fortsetzung (German), Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (N.F.), Heft 3, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1955. MR0068621 (16.913a)
- [5] M. Biernacki. Sur les fonctions multivalentes d'ordre p. CR Acad. Sci. Paris, 203:449-451, 1936.

- [6] Sigrun Bodine and D. A. Lutz, Asymptotic solutions and error estimates for linear systems of difference and differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 290 (2004), no. 1, 343–362, DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2003.09.068. MR2032246 (2004m:39017)
- Julius Borcea, Shmuel Friedland, and Boris Shapiro, Parametric Poincaré-Perron theorem with applications, J. Anal. Math. 113 (2011), 197–225, DOI 10.1007/s11854-011-0004-0. MR2788356 (2012e:39004)
- [8] Miriam Briskin, Nina Roytvarf, and Yosef Yomdin, Center conditions at infinity for Abel differential equations, Ann. of Math. (2) 172 (2010), no. 1, 437–483, DOI 10.4007/annals.2010.172.437. MR2680423 (2011j:34093)
- [9] N. G. de Bruijn. On Turán's first main theorem. Acta Math. Hung., 11:213-2016, 1960.
- [10] M. L. Cartwright, Some inequalities in the theory of functions, Math. Ann. 111 (1935), no. 1, 98–118, DOI 10.1007/BF01472208. MR1512983
- [11] W. Coppel. Dichotomies and stability theory. In *Proceedings of the Symposium on Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems*, pages 160–162. Springer, 1971.
- [12] Louis de Branges, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, Acta Math. 154 (1985), no. 1-2, 137–152, DOI 10.1007/BF02392821. MR772434 (86h:30026)
- [13] Saber Elaydi, An introduction to difference equations, 3rd ed., Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2005. MR2128146 (2005j:39001)
- [14] Omer Friedland and Yosef Yomdin, An observation on the Turán-Nazarov inequality, Studia Math. 218 (2013), no. 1, 27–39, DOI 10.4064/sm218-1-2. MR3125122
- [15] W. K. Hayman, Multivalent functions, 2nd ed., Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 110, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. MR1310776 (96f:30003)
- [16] Peter E. Kloeden and C. Pötzsche, Guest editorial: Non-autonomous difference equations and discrete dynamical systems, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 17 (2011), no. 2, 129–130, DOI 10.1080/10236198.2010.549001. MR2783339
- [17] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, and A. M. Fink, Classical and new inequalities in analysis, Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series), vol. 61, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1993. MR1220224 (94c:00004)
- [18] F. L. Nazarov, Local estimates for exponential polynomials and their applications to inequalities of the uncertainty principle type (Russian, with Russian summary), Algebra i Analiz 5 (1993), no. 4, 3–66; English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. 5 (1994), no. 4, 663–717. MR1246419 (94k:42004)
- [19] O. Perron. Über summengleichungen und Poincarésche differenzengleichungen. Mathematische Annalen, 84(1):1–15, 1921.
- [20] Mihály Pituk, More on Poincaré's and Perron's theorems for difference equations, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 8 (2002), no. 3, 201–216, DOI 10.1080/10236190211954. MR1887903 (2003g:39009)
- [21] H. Poincare, Sur les Equations Lineaires aux Differentielles Ordinaires et aux Differences Finies (French), Amer. J. Math. 7 (1885), no. 3, 203–258, DOI 10.2307/2369270. MR1505385
- [22] Christian Pötzsche, Geometric theory of discrete nonautonomous dynamical systems, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2002, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010. MR2680867 (2012a:37003)
- [23] E.J. Remez. Sur une propriété des polynômes de Tchebyscheff, Comm. Inst. Sci. Kharkow, 13:93–95, 1936.
- [24] N. Roytwarf and Y. Yomdin, Bernstein classes (English, with English and French summaries), Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 47 (1997), no. 3, 825–858. MR1465788 (98h:34009a)
- [25] Paul Turán, Eine neue Methode in der Analysis und deren Anwendungen (German), Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1953. MR0060548 (15,688b)
- [26] Paul Turán, On a new method of analysis and its applications, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York), John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1984. With the assistance of G. Halász and J. Pintz; With a foreword by Vera T. Sós; A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR749389 (86b:11059)
- [27] Y. Yomdin, Nonautonomous linearization, Dynamical systems (College Park, MD, 1986), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1342, Springer, Berlin, 1988, pp. 718–726, DOI 10.1007/BFb0082857. MR970581 (91h:58093)
- [28] Y. Yomdin, Singularities in algebraic data acquisition, Real and complex singularities, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 380, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010, pp. 378– 396. MR2759050 (2012g:94045)

- [29] Y. Yomdin, Remez-type inequality for discrete sets, Israel J. Math. 186 (2011), 45–60, DOI 10.1007/s11856-011-0131-4. MR2852315 (2012i:30009)
- [30] Y. Yomdin, Bautin ideals and Taylor domination, Publ. Mat. 58 (2014), no. suppl., 529–541. MR3211848

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT 76100, ISRAEL Current address: Department of Computer Science, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel

E-mail address: batenkov@cs.technion.ac.il

Department of Mathematics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel  $E\text{-}mail\ address:$  yosef.yomdin@weizmann.ac.il